

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/688,780 | 10/15/2003 | Robert Pawliuk | IOI-024 | 9532 |
| 959 7 | 7590 11/01/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET | | | KAUSHAL | , SUMESH |
| BOSTON, MA 02109 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1633 | 1633 |

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | 10/688,780 | PAWLIUK ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D. | 1633 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the man earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice. | his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pre | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or | rawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | · | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | ccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | r (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/688,780

Art Unit: 1633

4

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a method for treating arthritis via a method of in-vivo based gene therapy, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

II. Claims 5-13, drawn to method for treating arthritis via a method of ex-vivo based cell therapy, classified in class 424, subclass 93.21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the method in-vivo base gene therapy requires the genetic modification of cells in-vivo via administering the viral vectors to a subject, whereas the method of ex-vivo based cell requires the transplantation of genetically modified cells to the affected site. These methods require different material and protocols, which are of patentably distinct uses. For example the cell-based therapy requires the isolation of host cells prior to transplantation, which is not required for in-vivo base gene therapy. Thus these inventions are patentably distinct and are of separate uses, since the use of one is not required for other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,780

Art Unit: 1633

À

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 2 and 6 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising *HIV*, *FIV*, *SIV*, *BIV*, *EIAV* vectors. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 3 and 5 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising soluble Interleukin-1.alpha. Receptor Type I, Soluble Interleukin-1.alpha. Receptor Type II, Interleukin-1.alpha. Receptor Antagonist Protein (IRAP), Insulin-Like Growth Factor (IGF), Tissue Inhibitors of Matrix Metallo-Proteinases (TIP)-1, -2, -3, -4, Bone Morphogenic Protein (BMP)-2 and -7, Indian Hedgehog, Sox-9, Interleukin-4, Transforming Growth Factor (TGF)-beta., Superficial Zone Protein, Cartilage Growth and Differentiation Factors (CGDF), Bcl-2, Soluble Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF)--a Receptor, Fibronectin and/or Fibronectin Fragments, Leukemia Inhibitory Factor (LIF), LIF binding protein (LBP), Interleukin-4, Interleukin-10, Interleukin-11, Interleukin-13, Hyaluronan Synthase, soluble TNF-alpha. receptors 55 and 75, Insulin Growth Factor (IGF)-1, activators of plasminogen, urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA), parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTHrP), and platelet derived growth factor (PDGF)-AA -AB or -BB. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1633

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0769. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. from 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-0731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

-SK

SUMESH KAUSHALPATENT EXAMINER

Sumethhal.